

JOINT COMPANY/UNION SAFETY COMMITTEE CONCERN FORM

THIS FORM IS TO BE USED BY ALL EMPLOYEES ON PLANT SITE

Mail to: JCUSC, T-690G

(Please mail Blue Copy to JCUSC when the Concern is initiated)

Case No. 91-004

EMPLOYEE NAME [redacted] EMPLOYEE NUMBER [redacted]

DEPARTMENT Rail Ops, R.P.T. BLDG. 909 Paul PHONE [redacted] SHIFT Days

SUPERVISOR (Print) Joe Chinas; SUPV. EXT. 3069

MANAGER REPORTING TO DIRECTOR (Print): Dennis Schrock MANAGER EXT. 3161

I have previously discussed this Concern with Supervision: X Yes No.

Concern (briefly) On Wed., Dec 5 - PM shift RPT fireman Kevin Konzen knowingly instructed RPT's under his supervision to disregard a common Alara technique. The technique involves controlling re-entry of a posted contaminated area with compromised P.P.E. ROI refs. - 3.1 Page 2 #4.2, #5, #9.2; HSI P refs. - 18.02 Page 4 #4.2, #4.6.1

Recommendation (Optional)

Employee Signature [redacted] Date 12-18-90 Steward Signature (If Applicable) [Signature] Date 12-18-90

Supervision Response (within 5 working days) ROI 3.1 does NOT address this concern. All of the initial facts surrounding this concern were not presented to K-Konzen. *K. Konzen would not 'knowingly' instruct RPT's to disregard ALARA, the ROI or the HSI P manual. However, a tool box meeting will be held with all the RPT's regarding this issue.

Supervision Signature [Signature] Date 12/18/90 Manager Reporting to Director A.R. Fultz for D. Hardin Date 12/20/90

NOTE: TIMELINESS IN COMPLETING THIS FORM IS OF THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE.

I am satisfied with the results. X I am not satisfied.

Brief reason if not satisfied: The tool box meeting will standardize the issue, however, we believe the results should be made written for complete uniformity. Also, the description of K. Konzen's attitude in the response is completely opposite of his actions on 12-5.

Employee Signature [redacted] Date 12-23-90 JCUSC received Safety Concern on: 1-2-91

To be completed by the JCUSC Co-Chairperson(s)

Assigned to: Union: T.J. TEELEER Date 1-2-91 Company: C. Delforge Date 1-4-91

Distribution: Safety Committee (White) (Completed Form) Union Steward (Yellow) Employee (Green) Safety Committee (Blue) (When Concern Initiated)

PRIORITY: H M L

Safety Concern: 91-004 - 2.19.91
"Disregard of ALARA technique" 904 Pad

Employee - [REDACTED]
Supervisor - Keven Konzen - Manager -
JCUSC - Gino DiCarlo - Ted Tegeles -

Situation involved the re-entry of a posted contaminated area with compromised P.P.E. - Lack of Safety first Attitude by supervision - direct reflection towards safety

"Doe order - not going to follow it - not going to make his men follow it - its not that important out here"

Breaking barrier in Permacon to put rubber gloves in trash bag, ^{not} as taught in RPT Training.

Lessons learned for whole classification action - Dennis Shrock or Melton

Exit requirement from Permacon

**JOINT COMPANY - UNION
SAFETY COMMITTEE**

February 22, 1991

To: [REDACTED]
RPT
Broomfield WarehouseFrom: T. J. Tegeler / E. A. DiCarlo
JCUSC
T-690-G / Building 881
Ext. 4260 / Ext. 2238**RE: CLOSE-OUT OF SAFETY CONCERN NUMBER 91-004**

The JCUSC has investigated your safety concern involving the exiting requirements at the permacon. After much discussion, the following action items were agreed to and will resolve this concern.

1. **What:** Inform all RPT's through lessons learned format of situation and corrective actions.
When: By March 22, 1991
Who: D. D. Melton, Radiation Operations Manager

2. **What:** Incorporate agreed to resolution in posted exit requirements of all permacons.
When: March 22, 1991
Who: D. D. Melton

The above mentioned actions, responsible parties, and dates have been agreed to by all persons and departments in attendance, including the Joint Company/Union Safety Committee. Any changes to any part of this agreement must be agreed to by the Joint Company/Union Safety Committee.

Thank you for your participation in the safety program. If you have any further problems with this concern, it's answer, or the implementation, please feel free to contact the Joint Company/Union Safety Committee.


T. J. Tegeler
Union Safety Representative
E. A. DiCarlo
Company Safety Representative

cc:

S. A. Buckie
D. Chojnacki
S. CordovaD. M. Hardin
K. K. Konzen
D. D. MeltonJ. D. Roberts
D. K. Schrock
E. I. Tietenberg



**JOINT COMPANY - UNION
SAFETY COMMITTEE**

DATE: September 18, 1992
TO: G. G. Balint, Awards Coordinator, New Ideas Program, Bldg. 850, X4821
FROM: *E.I.* E. I. Tietenberg, Joint Co./Union Safety Comm., Bldg. T452B, X7620
M.F. M. F. Wood, Joint Co./Union Safety Comm., Bldg. T690G, X5800
SUBJECT: VERIFICATION OF IMPLEMENTATION - SAFETY CONCERN NUMBER 91-004
DISREGARD OF ALARA

The JCUSC / Assurance Support have verified implementation of the subject safety concern / suggestion filed by [REDACTED] and closed out the concern.

Attached is the verification form. Request you evaluate for a possible award.

Attachment:
As Stated

cc:
J. Chinosi
C. D. Delforge
[REDACTED]
J. D. Roberts
V. M. Scott
T. J. Tegeler
L. G. Ubias

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE: October 9, 1992
TO: [REDACTED], Rad Ops. Wst Ops., B/664
FROM: L.J. Engels, New Ideas Program, B/850, X3415
SUBJECT: SAFETY CONCERN ON DISREGARD OF ALARA - NIP-91004A-92

PURPOSE

The purpose of this memo is to provide you with an updated status of your Safety Concern Award file.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

We have been informed that your above listed recommendation has been implemented and verified, as applicable. Your award file will be forwarded to the Safety Award Committee for review of the reported benefits and award eligibility under the New Ideas Program. If the Safety Committee agrees that the idea meets the criteria of the New Ideas Program, your award file will be forwarded to our award evaluator. The evaluator will again verify the implementation and review monetary award eligibility. After these tasks are successfully completed, an award recommendation will be developed with assistance from your supervisor. Finally, all pertinent information will be forwarded to the Suggestion Awards Committee for review and approval.

Please note that if the award recommendation exceeds \$2,000.00, we are mandated to have the Department of Energy (DOE) review the file. DOE reviews have been very time consuming and often take longer than six (6) months. We regret these inconveniences, but hope you understand that they are designed to protect taxpayer's money.

RESPONSE REQUIREMENTS

No response to this letter is required. If you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please call us on extension 2237.

rs

cc:
JCUSC

INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE December 2, 1992
TO [REDACTED], Rad Ops Wst Ops., B/664
FROM L. J. Engels, New Ideas Program, B/850, X2237
SUBJECT SAFETY CONCERN ON DISREGARD OF ALARA - NIP-91004B-92

PURPOSE

The purpose of this memo is to provide you with an updated status of your Safety Concern Award file.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The Suggestion Award Committee has reviewed your file. The committee determined that, based on the information in the file, it is not eligible for a monetary award under the rules of the New Ideas Program for the following reason: Rule #4. See attachment.

RESPONSE REQUIREMENTS

No response to this letter is required. If you have any questions, please call us on Extension 2237.

rs

Attachment:
As stated

cc:
JCUSC ✓

COMMITMENT TRACKING SYSTEM
Final Certificate of Completion

9
75T
NABY JA

Check One: ISP CMM Occurrences _____ Other TCUSE

Ref. Number: 91-004 Responsible Manager: J. D. Roberts

Title: DISREGARD OF ALARA

Department: WR45

Building and Extension: 78936 x3324

Date all Corrective Actions were completed: 1/25/91

Summary of corrective actions taken and documentation (if documentation is not attached, list its location):

Please close Safety Concern per attached.

RPT'S were informed of correction actions through Tool Box meetings conducted on 1/24, 1/25/91.

← Not Done Plant-Wide

Dennis Schrock
7103
D. 5510

SIGNATURE BLOCK		1992 JAN 28 AM 11:58 RECEIVED NAS/OA
Responsible Manager <u>J. D. Roberts</u> Next Level Management <u>R. Morgan</u>	Date <u>1/7/92</u> Date <u>1/9/92</u>	
PROGRAM USE ONLY		
Database Updated <u>1/28/92</u>	Program Personnel <u>CH</u>	

JCUSC VERIFICATION FORM

Circle One - Verified Complete / Reopen

ISP NO 09.10.442 FINDING NO 91-004 ORIGIN OF FINDING JCUSC

ACTION RESPONSIBILITY ASSIGNED TO: L.G. Ubias

STATEMENT OF FINDING :

Disregard of Alara

EXPLANATION OF VERIFICATION:

- 1. Does the action plan address the intent of the original finding? Yes No
- 2. Has the situation described in the finding been adequately improved or corrected? Yes No

VERIFICATION DETAIL - Describe the actions taken to reach a disposition on this finding.

Talked with 904 Pad
Personnel problems resolved

EG&G VERIFICATION BY E.I. Tietenberg [Signature] DATE 9/18/92
Print Name Signature

UNION SAFETY COMMITTEE VERIFICATION BY Peggy Ausmus [Signature] DATE 9-17-92
Print Name Signature

APPROVED BY C.W. Buckholz [Signature] DATE 9/18/92
Print Name Signature

APPROVED BY E.I. Tietenberg [Signature] DATE 9/18/92
Print Name Signature

Distribution:

Performance Assurance - Commitments Tracking Group - T130G
Joint Company Union Safety Committee - T4528